

## **FAQs: Privacy Laws Impacting Survivors**

## California

This FAQ card provides attorneys and advocates with a starting point for researching common privacy issues that impact victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and includes citations to laws that affect victims' privacy rights. Depending on the facts of a specific case, such as a victim's age or occupation, there may be additional laws that expand or limit a victim's privacy. This card is intended as a summary of relevant laws and was last revised in August 2018. We do not guarantee that all relevant laws are included and the information provided does not constitute legal advice. If you are dealing with a privacy-related situation, we recommend that you contact a local attorney. If you need help finding an attorney, visit the ABA's Lawyer Referral Directory at https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal\_services/flh-home/flh-hire-a-lawyer/ or contact your state coalition. We encourage you to contact the VRLC with your privacy-related questions at privacyTA@victimrights.org or 503-274-5477.

1

What mandatory reporting laws should I be aware of in my jurisdiction? California law defines certain categories of individuals who *must* report abuse or injury to law enforcement or the county welfare department. The chart below summarizes certain providers' mandatory reporting obligations for specific populations. Read the statutes for additional categories of mandatory reporters, definitions of abuse and injury, procedures for reporting abuse, and any exceptions to mandatory reporting obligations. The chart below includes only *mandatory* (and not optional) reporters. (This is because the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) allows VAWA-funded entities to disclose a victim's personally identifying information without consent only if disclosure is mandated by a statute or court order.)

What must be reported? Who is REQUIRED to report?

	Social worker	Mental health professional	Health practitioner	Citation
Child abuse	✓	✓	✓	Cal. Penal Code § 11164 et seq.
Elder or dependent adult abuse	✓	✓	✓	Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code § 15600 et seq.
Any injury from a firearm, or assaultive or abusive conduct			✓	Cal. Penal Code § § 11160-11163.6
Client is danger to others	✓	✓		Cal. Civil Code § 43.92

2

If I am working in Indian Country or on federal property, what authorities should I consult to determine my mandatory reporting obligations?¹ Several laws govern mandatory reporting obligations in Indian Country² and on federal property. Tribal codes may require certain individuals to report child abuse and elder abuse to tribal officials, law enforcement, or tribal social services. Federal laws also address mandatory reporting. These laws apply to certain professionals who work in federal facilities or lands,³ or who suspect that child abuse has occurred or will occur in Indian Country.⁴ Additionally, state laws (discussed in Question 1), licensing regulations, and ethical obligations may require certain professionals to report abuse. Determining how these laws interact is complicated. Programs should contact attorneys and technical assistance providers for more information.⁵

- May a victim counselor be present during a victim's privileged communications with an attorney, psychotherapist, or physician without waiving the victim's right to keep those communications confidential? Yes, if the counselor is covered by California's domestic violence counselor, sexual assault counselor, or human trafficking caseworker privilege, and the other provider's communications with the victim are also privileged. Under California law, privilege is not waived where an individual's confidential information is shared between parties who have a privileged relationship with the individual. The presence of a sexual assault counselor, domestic violence counselor, or human trafficking caseworker during a victim's communications with an attorney, psychotherapist, or physician will not waive the victim's rights to confidentiality because all parties have privilege. Additionally, the attorney-client, physician-patient, and psychotherapist-patient privilege all recognize that a communication is still considered confidential if a third party (such as a counselor) is present to further the interest of the client or patient in the consultation.
- May an interpreter be present during a victim's privileged communications with a counselor, attorney, therapist, or physician without waiving the victim's right to keep those communications confidential? Yes, if the interpreter is needed to relay the communications. In California, privileged communications (such as those discussed in Question 3) are still considered confidential if a third party's presence is reasonably necessary for transmission of the information. Additionally, state law provides that whenever privilege exists between an individual who is Deaf and another person, that privilege is not waived merely because an interpreter was used to facilitate their communication. 14
- Are a victim's privileged communications with a counselor, attorney, therapist, or physician protected from disclosure after the victim's death? It depends. A victim's communications with an attorney, therapist, physician, or sexual assault counselor will remain privileged, because California law indicates that these privileges survive the death of the client or patient. In contrast, the domestic violence counselor and human trafficking caseworker privileges state that a court may compel disclosure if the victim is dead. For guidance on whether VAWA or other provisions may help protect a victim's confidentiality after death, contact the Victim Rights Law Center or your state's coalition.
  - Are communications between a victim and a prosecutor's office or law enforcement agency confidential? No. Communications between a victim and employees of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office are not confidential because the government has a duty to turn over exculpatory evidence to the defendant. Exculpatory evidence is information that tends to prove the defendant's innocence and could include statements or personal records the victim gave to an advocate employed by a prosecutor's office, law enforcement, or other government agency. By contrast, advocates with non-profit agencies typically are not subject to these rules, as they are not part of the prosecution team or a party to the criminal case.
    - When must school employees report gender-based violence against adult victims to the school's Title IX Coordinator? An employee's role determines when a report of gender-based violence, e.g., sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or sexually motivated stalking, must be made to a Title IX Coordinator. Under Title IX, a "responsible employee" has a duty to report such violence if they "knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, about the harassment." Responsible employees include anyone who has authority to address the violence; who has a duty to report other misconduct that violates school policy; or whom a student

May law enforcement access an adult victim's health information without the victim's consent? It depends on the type of information that is requested. The chart below summarizes some of the common situations in which law enforcement (LE) may access health information without patient consent under Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations. Additionally, health care providers may be required by law to report certain injuries to LE, as discussed in Question 1.

Scenario What may be disclosed?		Limitations on what may be disclosed
Health care provider receives court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, or grand jury subpoena	Information authorized by the court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena, or summons	Warrants for documents protected by California's physician-patient privilege must comply with several procedural requirements <sup>18</sup>
Provider receives administrative subpoena, summons, investigative demand, or other non-judicial process authorized by law	Information authorized by the administrative demand	LE must certify that the information requested is relevant, material, specific, and limited in scope, and that de-identified information could not reasonably be used
LE asks about a patient by name	The patient's location in the health care facility and general medical condition	Information must not be released if the patient has opted out
LE requests information to identify or locate a suspect, fugitive, witness, or missing person	Name; address; birth date; SSN; blood type; injury; date and time of treatment; date and time of death; physical description	Provider cannot disclose information related to the patient's DNA; dental records; or typing, samples, or analysis of body fluids or tissue
LE requests information about a crime victim who cannot consent due to incapacity or emergency	rime victim who cannot consent needed to determine whether	

How can I determine the privacy rights of minors and whether minors may legally consent to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking services? The laws that govern a minor's right to privacy and right to consent to services are varied and complex. A program may need to consult several different laws, including the jurisdiction's laws regarding mandatory reporting (discussed in Question 1), emancipation, a minor's right to consent to medical and mental health services, and a parent or guardian's right to access a child's medical, counseling, or other personal records. Contact your state coalition or the Victim Rights Law Center for more information on how to approach this question.

10

**Does a victim whose private information or photographs have been posted online without consent have any civil legal remedies?** Yes, but legal and practical success and the victim's options will vary greatly depending on the facts of the case. This is a rapidly changing area of law. Consult an attorney familiar with these issues before advising victims. California provides a civil cause of action for the intentional distribution of nude or sexually explicit images that were reasonably expected to remain private. A plaintiff may use a pseudonym when filing the action. Additional civil causes of action may include intentional infliction of emotion distress, civil stalking, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, and invasion of privacy.

In addition, California has a criminal nonconsensual pornography (aka "revenge porn") statute. It is unlawful to intentionally distribute nude or sexually explicit images that were intended to be private where the distributor intends to cause—and does cause—serious emotional distress.<sup>20</sup> Images obtained or distributed in violation of this law are subject to forfeiture.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We have included this information for all jurisdictions because it may aid professionals who work across state lines or in federal lands or facilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Federal law defines "Indian Country" as all land within the limits of an Indian reservation under the jurisdiction of the U.S. government; all dependent Indian communities; and all Indian allotments still in trust. 18 U.S.C. § 1151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Victims of Child Abuse Act: 42 U.S.C. § 13031 *et seq.* & 18 U.S.C. § 2258.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Indian Child Protection & Family Violence Prevention Act: 25 U.S.C. § 3201 *et seq.* & 18 U.S.C. § 1169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A list of OVW technical assistance (TA) providers, including tribal TA providers, is available at https://ta2ta.org/directory.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 1037.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 1035.8.

<sup>8</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 1038.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 912 ("A disclosure in confidence of a communication that is protected by a privilege . . . when disclosure is reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer, psychotherapist, domestic violence counselor, [or] sexual assault counselor . . . was consulted, is not a waiver of the privilege.").

<sup>10</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 954.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 1014.

<sup>12</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 994.

<sup>13</sup> Cal. Evid. Code §§ 952, 992, 1012, 1035.4, 1037.2, 1038.2.

<sup>14</sup> Cal. Evid. Code § 754.5.

<sup>15</sup> Cal. Evid. Code §§ 953, 993, 1013, 1035.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1037.5, 1038.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 45 C.F.R. § 164.512. The regulations define "law enforcement official" as "an officer or employee of any agency or authority of the United States, a State, a territory, a political subdivision of a State or territory, or an Indian tribe, who is empowered by law to: (1) Investigate or conduct an official inquiry into a potential violation of law; or (2) Prosecute or otherwise conduct a criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding arising from an alleged violation of law." 45 C.F.R. § 164.103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cal. Penal Code § 1524.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Cal. Penal Code § 647(j)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Cal. Penal Code § 647.8.